



What the  
**VOTER ID  
BILL**  
really means...

Plenty of other states require a photo ID for voters, so why are Wisconsinites so set against the Walker Voter ID bill? The answer is simple. It's that everything in the bill works to make voting harder for the people who are most affected by Walker's draconian budget-cutting measures. The bill is both discriminatory and confusing. Here's how:

To vote in Wisconsin in 2012, you will need one of the following:

- **A driver's license**
- **A state ID card**
- **Naturalization papers**
- **A tribal ID**
- **A "valid" student ID**

With considerably fewer DMV offices compared to other states with similar voting-age populations, and with too few convenient to public transportation, access to obtain appropriate ID is severely limited. Plain and simple, it's hard to get to one. And harder, of course, for people with limited means. The net result of that fact alone would promptly disenfranchise:

- **Over 177,000 elderly Wisconsinites**
- **17% of white voters**
- **49-55% of all African-American voters**
- **66-78% of African-American voters aged 18-24**
- **46-59% of Hispanic voters**



What the  
**VOTER ID  
BILL**  
really means...

Plenty of other states require a photo ID for voters, so why are Wisconsinites so set against the Walker Voter ID bill? The answer is simple. It's that everything in the bill works to make voting harder for the people who are most affected by Walker's draconian budget-cutting measures. The bill is both discriminatory and confusing. Here's how:

To vote in Wisconsin in 2012, you will need one of the following:

- **A driver's license**
- **A state ID card**
- **Naturalization papers**
- **A tribal ID**
- **A "valid" student ID**

With considerably fewer DMV offices compared to other states with similar voting-age populations, and with too few convenient to public transportation, access to obtain appropriate ID is severely limited. Plain and simple, it's hard to get to one. And harder, of course, for people with limited means. The net result of that fact alone would promptly disenfranchise:

- **Over 177,000 elderly Wisconsinites**
- **17% of white voters**
- **49-55% of all African-American voters**
- **66-78% of African-American voters aged 18-24**
- **46-59% of Hispanic voters**



What the  
**VOTER ID  
BILL**  
really means...

Plenty of other states require a photo ID for voters, so why are Wisconsinites so set against the Walker Voter ID bill? The answer is simple. It's that everything in the bill works to make voting harder for the people who are most affected by Walker's draconian budget-cutting measures. The bill is both discriminatory and confusing. Here's how:

To vote in Wisconsin in 2012, you will need one of the following:

- **A driver's license**
- **A state ID card**
- **Naturalization papers**
- **A tribal ID**
- **A "valid" student ID**

With considerably fewer DMV offices compared to other states with similar voting-age populations, and with too few convenient to public transportation, access to obtain appropriate ID is severely limited. Plain and simple, it's hard to get to one. And harder, of course, for people with limited means. The net result of that fact alone would promptly disenfranchise:

- **Over 177,000 elderly Wisconsinites**
- **17% of white voters**
- **49-55% of all African-American voters**
- **66-78% of African-American voters aged 18-24**
- **46-59% of Hispanic voters**

Student voter rights are also at risk. Student IDs must now display: *a current address, birth date, signature and expiration date.* Since no private college or UW campus now meets this requirement, costs to do so are expected to run into the millions.

*Clearly seniors, students, and Wisconsin's minority groups—those with less money and who tend to vote more liberally—are being deliberately targeted.*

Walker's justification for the bill to begin with was to prevent voter fraud. But voter fraud is almost nonexistent, only 20 cases in the 2008 election, none of which were ID issues.

And just to add to the confusion:

- **Voters must be Wisconsin residents for 28 days before an election instead of just 10.**
- **First-time voters must prove that they have lived at their current address for 28 days. And neighbors or parents vouching for them isn't enough.**
- **Absentee ballots must be cast 2 weeks before an election, instead of 3.**
- **The cut-off date for absentee ballots changes from the Monday before an election to the Friday before.**

It's no wonder Walker's critics call this "Voter Suppression." That's what it is. And it must be stopped.

Information provided by  
A UNITED WISCONSIN  
TO RECALL SCOTT WALKER

[www.unitedwisconsin.com](http://www.unitedwisconsin.com)

Student voter rights are also at risk. Student IDs must now display: *a current address, birth date, signature and expiration date.* Since no private college or UW campus now meets this requirement, costs to do so are expected to run into the millions.

*Clearly seniors, students, and Wisconsin's minority groups—those with less money and who tend to vote more liberally—are being deliberately targeted.*

Walker's justification for the bill to begin with was to prevent voter fraud. But voter fraud is almost nonexistent, only 20 cases in the 2008 election, none of which were ID issues.

And just to add to the confusion:

- **Voters must be Wisconsin residents for 28 days before an election instead of just 10.**
- **First-time voters must prove that they have lived at their current address for 28 days. And neighbors or parents vouching for them isn't enough.**
- **Absentee ballots must be cast 2 weeks before an election, instead of 3.**
- **The cut-off date for absentee ballots changes from the Monday before an election to the Friday before.**

It's no wonder Walker's critics call this "Voter Suppression." That's what it is. And it must be stopped.

Information provided by  
A UNITED WISCONSIN  
TO RECALL SCOTT WALKER

[www.unitedwisconsin.com](http://www.unitedwisconsin.com)

Student voter rights are also at risk. Student IDs must now display: *a current address, birth date, signature and expiration date.* Since no private college or UW campus now meets this requirement, costs to do so are expected to run into the millions.

*Clearly seniors, students, and Wisconsin's minority groups—those with less money and who tend to vote more liberally—are being deliberately targeted.*

Walker's justification for the bill to begin with was to prevent voter fraud. But voter fraud is almost nonexistent, only 20 cases in the 2008 election, none of which were ID issues.

And just to add to the confusion:

- **Voters must be Wisconsin residents for 28 days before an election instead of just 10.**
- **First-time voters must prove that they have lived at their current address for 28 days. And neighbors or parents vouching for them isn't enough.**
- **Absentee ballots must be cast 2 weeks before an election, instead of 3.**
- **The cut-off date for absentee ballots changes from the Monday before an election to the Friday before.**

It's no wonder Walker's critics call this "Voter Suppression." That's what it is. And it must be stopped.

Information provided by  
A UNITED WISCONSIN  
TO RECALL SCOTT WALKER

[www.unitedwisconsin.com](http://www.unitedwisconsin.com)